























# Survey 1 April 2025










Question 15 ¶ PARAGRAPH TEXT











## Comment or Message











ANSWERS	DATE	
Continue the fight!	April 4, 2025 at 8:49 pm	
A special election should be held to impeach the board members who are attempting to hijack the Board.	April 4, 2025 at 8:28 pm	
Excellent survey. It appears that the incumbent BOD is a bunch of bitter clingers...	April 4, 2025 at 8:15 pm	
I don't understand how members can be appointed instead of elected except by resignation or incapacitation.	April 4, 2025 at 8:14 pm	
This is tantamount to those who seek to pack the U.S. Supreme Court in an effort to change the ideological makeup of the Court and subvert their decisions.	April 4, 2025 at 8:05 pm	
While the recent actions taken by the incumbent board may be technically legal, they are, without question, wrong, dishonorable, and morally corrupt. After being rebuffed by the voters in a democratic election, the board chose to appoint additional members—including some who were explicitly rejected by the electorate—back into voting positions. This decision stands in direct contradiction to the clearly expressed will of the voters. It undermines the very principles of democratic governance and raises serious questions about the board's respect for the community it claims to serve. Legality does not equal legitimacy. What is permissible is not always just. The US Air Force Academy, the AOG, and the entire graduate community deserve better.	April 4, 2025 at 7:36 pm	
Should the bylaws of the AOG reflect the BOD's positions on each of the issues stated above? NO - the Bylaws of the AOG Board should reflect the AOG MEMBER position on the issues above. In general, if your questions reflect how you intend to change the Bylaws then great, but don't try to make these changes without the appropriate processes. Please do not minimize the importance of having appointed voting Directors. The Unity slate has not served on the Board. There are some critical capabilities and expertise that are needed on the Board that the Board cannot secure onto the board without having appointed positions. As well, just because an incumbent did not win re-election, that does not mean AOG members voted against them and no longer wants them on the Board in any capacity.	April 4, 2025 at 7:13 pm	
Don't really understand number 10. I think the bylaws should reflect the how the BOD will function to accomplish the goals of the AOG membership. Bylaws should	April 4, 2025 at 7:05 pm	










ANSWERS	DATE	
not reflect the BODs positions on issues - they should reflect best practices to operate the BOD in the memberships interests.		
It's clear that the majority of AOG members desire a change in the BOD leadership and that the current BOD should not have appointed any new members, especially one who lost in the election. The BOD should rescind their recent appointments and allow the new BOD to appoint new members if they are even needed. The current BOD has not been transparent, and I fear potential legal problems and major expenses if the current BOD does not rescind these appointments.	April 4, 2025 at 6:40 pm	
Are the recent incumbent BOD appointments atypical of past BOD decisions?	April 4, 2025 at 6:39 pm	
These recent actions are really disappointing. Respect fair elections.	April 4, 2025 at 6:32 pm	
Transparency and inclusiveness are paramount. All board meetings should be recorded and on Zoom open to all members. Grads should strongly support a well-defined honor code, a commitment to the highest standards and expectations of excellence, (coupled with a passion for continuous improvement) in a culture where selflessness, teamwork, unity and competence in lethality reign supreme—all essential to be the leaders our nation, armed services and Allies expect of USAF Academy graduates.	April 4, 2025 at 6:25 pm	
Dear old AOG board, Do not fight the will of the AOG members or risk losing them.	April 4, 2025 at 6:12 pm	
Why did the incumbent BOD President resign immediately after the appointment of two BOD members? Was this expected? If the incumbent BOD is not operating in accordance with Colorado law governing non-profits, perhaps litigation is in order.	April 4, 2025 at 6:05 pm	
The conduct of BOD is very disappointing. Fellow grads, not just the newly elected board members but all of us, deserve better.	April 4, 2025 at 6:00 pm	
I am appalled at the conduct of the Board demonstrated on 19 Mar 2025. This behavior is not only selfish and self-serving, it is contrary to the values that we should all aspire to: transparency, integrity and honesty. As a graduate, I am embarrassed by this 'move' of the BOD.	April 4, 2025 at 5:59 pm	
I wonder how much this silliness by the present Board affects the disinterest of Grads with the Academy.	April 4, 2025 at 5:49 pm	
The crap the current board has been doing has contributed heavily to the reason why I have stopped contributing anything to the Academy, whether it be monetary or in-person support. I stopped being proud to be a graduate many years ago when the multiple cheating scandals, sexual assaults and, most recently, woke ideology permeated the Academy culture. Our society has certainly lost its way, but I always thought the pride of the cadet corps would isolate the blue from the ills of the civilian populace. Unfortunately, when leadership happily joins and supports the perversion through its actions and decisions, there is little hope of any organization escaping unscathed. I hope the new Board will turn things around.	April 4, 2025 at 5:37 pm	











ANSWERS	DATE	
<p>Though I respect the purpose and authority of USAFA's BOD, as is the case with our elected representatives of Congress, the BOD serves to represent the will of the AOG. It does not exist to empower itself. It should not conduct it's affairs and responsibilities closeted with the purpose of furthering an agenda that is blatantly contrary to what the most current election for members of the BOD has made evident. Your actions of appointing your own chosen members to the BOD versus members who have been elected by the graduate community is wrong morally, ethically, and. arguably, procedurally. If a member has not been reelected then give them a plaque and walk them to the exit door. Do not compromise your integrity or insult the intelligence of my AOG by appointing them to remain as members.</p>	April 4, 2025 at 5:24 pm	
<p>Fully agree with the Unity letter by the newly elected board members to the current board.</p>	April 4, 2025 at 5:23 pm	
<p>I'm very confused w/#4. In one sentence, you pose two questions linked by "or. When someone asks, "Coffee or tea" and you respond, "Yes." what does that mean to the questioner? Plus, in the sentence's first clause, you ask, "Should the newly elected BOD members rescind the appointments it made . . . " The word "it" (singular) must refer to the incumbent BOD as a whole, because the entire incumbent BOD as whole made those appointments on 3/19/2025. The newly elected Board members did nothing on 3/19. However, on or after 4/25/2025, as PART of the NEW Board, "the newly seated Board members" can offer a motion to rescind the motion passed on 3/19. Likewise for #3, another "coffee or tea" question. Plus, I'm not sure the incumbent BOD can modify the selection motion it passed in a way that takes away that Board member's right to vote but still enable him or her to be Secretary, Treasurer or a committee chair. Likely a bridge to far before 4/24., but rather business for the new Board to undertake. For # 7, "Should all committee meetings . . ." Absolutely not for the Nominating Committee; any committee meeting should be open for any elected Board member to at least attend/observe, perhaps not commenting or asking questions, unless asked to do so. AOG members attending other committee's meetings requires new Board discussion IAW RONR guidelines and CO laws, if any, relevant to a private, non-profit CO corporation. For # 9, re: USAFA leaders, definitely, doing so in coordination w/AOG CEO and staff, as that is now part of the AOG Mission Statement and Articles of Incorporation. If BOV specifically asks AOG CEO/Staff &amp; Board members, we should definitely do so &amp; fully cooperate; but if not asked as a BOD, then do so only after making clear I/we do so only as a an Academy grad, not a Board member, unless designated in some manner to do so. #10 is wide-open, but ranking the "issues stated" by number &amp; quality of responses to each issue, BOD would have an idea where near term priorities are for those issues. (&amp; only those issues because the survey only addressed those.)</p>	April 4, 2025 at 5:15 pm	
<p>The incumbent boards actions reek of desperation. Not much different than those who would pack the Supreme Court to subvert the will of the elected officials.</p>	April 4, 2025 at 5:11 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
I am disappointed to hear that the incumbent BOD would play a move that appears to undermine the will of an AOG election. If this is how the board behaves, it will directly and negatively affect my desire to provide financial contributions to the organization. We have elections for a reason. Appointing someone who recently lost an election bid seems contrary to efforts of unity.	April 4, 2025 at 5:07 pm	
The actions of the incumbent BOD, as described, are a horrible reflection of the motivations of that Board as "sour grapes." If the incumbent BOD has done what is described in the letter, I am both ashamed of them and very disappointed in their ability to lead. This is not the standard we hold ourselves to, ladies and gentlemen.	April 4, 2025 at 5:06 pm	
I thought we were an institution where integrity first matters... the incumbent BOD changing the rules in favor of their bias to soften their loss while position themselves to make unearned/underrepresented votes...aka "cheating" is something my 5 year old would do in a game of "go fish."	April 4, 2025 at 5:05 pm	
This looks pretty strait forward to me.	April 4, 2025 at 4:49 pm	
The incumbent BOD's actions to undermine the will of the majority of AOG members who voted in the most recent election is disgusting. No AOG election in recent history has received so much participation nor visibility, and it is precisely due to the inaction, negligence, and/or complicity in the incumbent BOD in forwarding causes and policies that degrade America's military readiness. I graduated over 15yrs ago, still fly for a combat squadron, and I've seen the direct impact that these policies and priorities have on combat effectiveness—it's retarded to think that America is going to emerge victorious in the next big war if we continue that path. The membership spoke loud and clear, let the members' intent prevail.	April 4, 2025 at 4:39 pm	
Stop the shenanigans with "appointed" BOD members. Elections matter.	April 4, 2025 at 4:32 pm	
The special meeting and appointments demonstrate to all members of the AOG how corrupt our BOD has become and how necessary the Unity Slate agenda is. I am disheartened to see graduates of USAFA behave with such dishonor.	April 4, 2025 at 4:29 pm	
Any of the incumbent BOD members who insist on going forward with this "back-door" attempt to circumvent the stated will of the majority of graduates who voted in the most recent BOD election should be subject to an immediate recall election.	April 4, 2025 at 4:24 pm	
- Follow the Charter, Bylaws and serve your term. - Don't induce corruption or you won't continue to have an "Association" of "Graduates." You'll have a corrupt system no graduate will join. - If the BOD is a paid position, no additions to the board should be made without the vote of the Association of Graduates. #6 above: the question is leading. A BOD should serve in their appointed capacity and only within their given authority. #8 above: sometimes agendas change. All items not previously included should be in an after action report. Nowhere in this request to the AOG has it been made clear why the incumbent BOD appointed two new, voting members to the board. Since we voted in 5 new BOD positions, was it to fill	April 4, 2025 at 4:16 pm	













ANSWERS	DATE	
two vacant ones? If there are 5 on the board now and we elected 5 incoming, then all 5 incumbents are gone anyway.		
Typical "Elitist" AOG Board of Directors, THE reason they lost the election and we grads will not contribute or support the OLD ways. We elected NEW members PRECISELY to get this attitude OUT of OUR AOG! Just as the country elected President Trump to CLEAN HOUSE of DEI/CRT at all military branches and academies. This is nothing less than "stacking the court" and done in DIRECT violation of the AOG By-Laws. Some example for the AOG to send to cadets, SHAME on this Board!	April 4, 2025 at 4:11 pm	
BOD should not have appointive power which may be used to sustain their power over the AOG.	April 4, 2025 at 4:09 pm	
I may not have the training/background to comment intelligently on the legality of the recent appointments to the BOD. HOWEVER, I do have the ability to recognize a weasel deal when I see one!!! The recent actions of the lame duck BOD certainly meet my definition of a weasel deal!	April 4, 2025 at 4:06 pm	
What are the issues dividing the old Board from the new Board?	April 4, 2025 at 4:00 pm	
The actions of the current BoD, appointing additional members to essentially "Pack the Court", including a member who was rejected by the AOG voters, reeks of cronyism- and while it may be legal ( although that is open to discussion and litigation) it is NOT in the spirit of the Honor Code, nor does it set any kind of example for our Cadets nor the Officer Corps. SHAME ON YOU, current Board. To maintain any semblance of honor or integrity, resign immediately	April 4, 2025 at 3:57 pm	
To be blunt, the AOG membership was magically morphed to be free, yet 25% or so of the graduates have decided to not join. Does the cluebird ever land there? I've tried hard to re-evaluate my choices for donations, but the Zoo and AOG seem determined to make choices I can't accept. It's like they continue to ask me 'to hold their beer.'	April 4, 2025 at 3:53 pm	
The BOD does not exist to tell the members what we should do. It exists to reflect the will of the members. This concept is very hard in our liberal/conservative, it's all about me, current political situation. Members of the BOD must fight the tendency to think they know all of the answers.	April 4, 2025 at 3:52 pm	
After hearing about their maneuver, I'd like a special election to remove the remaining incumbent members.	April 4, 2025 at 3:46 pm	
The incumbent BOD members betrayed all Grads with their disgraceful actions.	April 4, 2025 at 3:41 pm	
Way to go men, outstanding effort on behalf of all us. I particularly enjoyed a snippet from one Grads comments stating " paying too much attention to pigment and ponytails, instead of focusing on the mission" !! Never heard that before, but it is so spot on. Mike Henehan '73, 4 year varsity hockey, elected Captain my First Class Year !!	April 4, 2025 at 3:39 pm	












ANSWERS	DATE	
This is an obvious attempt by the incumbent BOD to subvert the mandate given by the AOG voting membership for change. I strongly disagree with any appointed and unelected BOD members. These appointments should be rescinded immediately.	April 4, 2025 at 3:38 pm	
The Left Wing fights dirty, ignores the law and spits in the face of those with which they disagree and who honorably served in the past. Their voice has been heard loud and clear for a long time and it's time to move on!	April 4, 2025 at 3:36 pm	
"ONLY ELECTED" BOD members should have voting rights. This is obviously a move to restrict not only the newly elected BOD members but also the desire of the membership to effect change to the way AOG conducts business. Never thought I would see this kind of politics in the AOG. It appears it is past time to clean house!	April 4, 2025 at 3:35 pm	
For what stated requirement were individuals appointed to the AOG BOD after the BOD election?	April 4, 2025 at 3:34 pm	
As cadets, we were taught to live under the Honor Code and to live moral and honorable lives whether on active duty or retired. It's a wonderful way to live! The AOG, it appears disagrees with what I learned as a cadet and have carried with me since my cadet days! I am sick of cadets and grads playing politicians where any amount of dishonesty and cheating is acceptable as long as it results in personal desires! If these immoral ways are to continue, I want no further association with the AOG and with USAFA!	April 4, 2025 at 3:32 pm	
These actions of the incumbent BOD reek of maleficence and disdain for the AOG membership.	April 4, 2025 at 3:31 pm	
The actions of the current board were obviously made to "voter proof" the outgoing board. These current board actions in no uncertain terms told the graduates who voted in the last BOD election that their vote did not count and that the existing board knew better than the graduates who voted. It should be the policy of the BOD to open up all board meetings to all graduates via online meeting forums such as Zoom. All of the members of the current board should resign for allowing these direct appointments in obvious disregard to the members that voted in the last election. The newly elected board should appoint new directors to take their place.	April 4, 2025 at 3:27 pm	
Even if the motives were pure as snow, the appearance to the graduate community is dubious at best when ousted BOD's make appointments.	April 4, 2025 at 3:24 pm	
The actions of the current BOD, in a blatant attempt to circumvent the will of AOG members, is extremely unprofessional and bordering on unethical.	April 4, 2025 at 3:22 pm	
The recent appointment of two BOD members, including one who lost in the election, is highly suspect and should be rescinded immediately. It completely undermines the incumbent BOD's credibility and is a perfect example of the historic direction and lack of transparency that was voted out with a clear mandate.	April 4, 2025 at 3:21 pm	













ANSWERS	DATE	
Thank you Unity candidates and now members of the USAFA AOG Board of Directors for giving fellow graduates a voice. Expect great things to be accomplished with your selection and leadership. May transparency be your watchword.	April 4, 2025 at 3:19 pm	
How can we expect cadts to uphold the highest rational standards and the honor code if the AOG BOD does not and even seems to "cheat"?	April 4, 2025 at 3:18 pm	
The current USAFA BOD, which has allowed/promoted DEI at our esteemed Academy, conducted a secret meeting to add two addition board members, to effectively cancel out our votes and our voices? That is outrageous! These back door secret (spineless) meetings are incredibly disappointing. I stopped donating several years ago because of what I saw AFA becoming-an indoctrination camp. I have heard the same from many grads. Hillsdale College is far more deserving of our contributions.	April 4, 2025 at 3:14 pm	
The behavior of the board resulting in the appointment of to voting members in violation of the will of the electorate and our selected members is behavior straight out of the Communist Party and Politburo so many of us dedicated our lives to ensure would never come to America, let alone a board of officers from what used to be one of our most prestigious accession sources. Their behavior is shameful and in m opinion, criminal. There is no wonder the new members were overwhelmingly elected and clearly have a manifest for changing the way the BOD as operated in recent years.	April 4, 2025 at 3:13 pm	
I have withheld my donations to the AOG since realizing that the Air Force Academy is no longer the Academy I attended. USAFA and the AOG no longer represents American values: fairness, equality before the law, the right of representation, and the 14th Amendment. Until USAFA demonstrates adherence to American values-- and its own Honor Code--I'll not support it nor encourage my children to apply. My money, and my children's talents and service will be applied where they will be valued, not snubbed.	April 4, 2025 at 3:11 pm	
I am disgusted by the actions of the incumbent BOD to appoint additional members who have not been voted in by the membership after an obvious message has been sent by AOG voters in the last election. Graduates should display high ethical character and not try to influence the future makeup of the BOD behind closed doors.	April 4, 2025 at 3:11 pm	
I strongly protest the incumbent BOD "appointing" two new voting members of the BOD, especially one that just lost an "election" bid. I have absolutely no trust in the incumbent BOD!!!!	April 4, 2025 at 3:07 pm	
Thank you for your work in this matter. It's important, and I'm glad we have people willing to take on this challenge.	April 4, 2025 at 3:06 pm	
If indeed Colorado State law was violated by the incumbent Board of Directors, perhaps a non-biased and legal investigation should occur to hold the incumbent	April 4, 2025 at 3:05 pm	










ANSWERS	DATE	
board of directors accountable. It's a shame that fellow graduates on the incumbent Board of Directors 'DO NOT BELIEVE IN DEMOCRACY!!'		
The bylaws should be clear.	April 4, 2025 at 3:05 pm	
The will of the members as reflected in the recent election should be respected.	April 4, 2025 at 2:58 pm	
This is another example of people in power trying to hinder change because it does not fit their narrative. I find this reprehensible. I wrote a letter several years ago to the Superintendent outlining what I felt were a departure from the mission of the Academy to produce leaders and warriors. I realize there are many disciplines in the Air Force that require leadership. What I don't understand is what appears to be the lack of emphasis on war fighting in lieu of academic achievement with follow on scholarships and non war fighting positions.	April 4, 2025 at 2:56 pm	
If the elected Board has to appoint temporary non voting members for a specific purpose, it should be able to do so if expertise is needed. Otherwise stacking the BOD should not be allowed.	April 4, 2025 at 2:55 pm	
What the heck is going on? Is there a way to discover the motivations of the incumbent BOD as to their reasons for these "behind closed doors" appointments. If nefarious, they need to be exposed so that perhaps the AOG members can petition to have those BOD members removed from the new BOD.	April 4, 2025 at 2:55 pm	
The current Board of Directors are completely without honor. They are a disgrace to all of us.	April 4, 2025 at 2:49 pm	
The high handedness of these appointments in private session disgrace the the old board and appointed members. Particularly, heinous is the appoint of a losing director despite the will of the Alumni. Check your former honor code for integrity.	April 4, 2025 at 2:48 pm	
The appointment of 2 voting board members by the "on their way out the door" incumbent BOD is underhanded and despicable. The BOD of the AOG exist to serve the Graduate Community who elected them. Appointed members do not reflect the wishes or votes of the Graduate community. The appointment of a member who was not re-elected to the BOD is a direct slap in the face of the Graduate community who did not endorse the appointed member serving on the BOD. This whole ordeal is reflective of how the USAFA BOD has lost touch with who they are representing...the GRADUATE COMMUNITY. Get a grip or get out!!!	April 4, 2025 at 2:48 pm	
The actions of the incumbent BOD lack the integrity necessary to represent the Long Blue Line.	April 4, 2025 at 2:47 pm	
Keep fighting the good fight!! Unfortunately, the Academy isn't like what it used to be in terms of discipline, honor, or willingness to serve among other things. It has become a social experiment lab full of quota-fillers helped by a BOD made up of similar folks that don't seem to understand the concerns of grads. I have become so disillusioned and embarrassed to be associated with this place. I really wish there was a way to revoke/cancel my life membership in the AOG as I do not have a common bond with classes from the last two decades. The overweight	April 4, 2025 at 2:46 pm	






















ANSWERS	DATE	
superintendent seems to care more about football than getting grads that want to Fly, Fight, and Win.		
Keep pushing the issues you guys support and were voting in for! They make sense to me, before graduating from an academy reduces the strength of our warriors! That is dangerous!	April 4, 2025 at 2:43 pm	
Go get 'em. You were elaeected, not the tyrants	April 4, 2025 at 2:41 pm	
I agree with the appointment of DT, who represents the Space Force and he is needed right now to help incorporate Space Force positions.	April 4, 2025 at 2:39 pm	
Plz keep politics out of our AOG	April 4, 2025 at 2:38 pm	
Some of your questions are a bit confusing. Please keep the pressure on to ensure the newly elected BOD takes control and the old guard are removed. Get rid of the old guard ASAP.	April 4, 2025 at 2:35 pm	
Seems like we have been here before. What is not clear to me is the underlying reason for the two appointments by the incumbent BOD. From the controversy can I assume it was to retain power and control when the newly elected BOD members are seated?	April 4, 2025 at 2:31 pm	
The actions of the current incumbent BOD mimic the worst sort of backroom politics that curry favoritism and defy the will of the majority of the graduate community. Conducting crucial AOG BOD business without proper notice in defiance of Colorado law serves as a bitter reminder of why so many graduate are disillusioned with the incumbent BOD.	April 4, 2025 at 2:30 pm	
Not doing the above regarding appointed BOD members largely defeats the primary purpose of HAVING an AOG.	April 4, 2025 at 2:23 pm	
I am disgusted that the AOG appointed new BOD members after the election. That was a despicable act of control and political maneuvering.	April 4, 2025 at 2:22 pm	
I will be checking on the AOG site for the 'incumbent' BOD reasons for taking these actions/positions. Should it be determined that their appointments - made after a duly scheduled election - were made to maintain power in the organization, then those incumbent members MUST resign.	April 4, 2025 at 2:20 pm	
It is frankly disgusting how far the incumbent BOD has strayed from the Honor Code. They have clearly forgotten what we were taught. They should leave their class rings at the exit.	April 4, 2025 at 2:20 pm	
Keep up the fight! When this "fight" has subsided it would be good, as one of the first issues, the BOD should attempt to reinstate traditions somewhere visible on the Academy grounds. I refer to the BRING ME MEN words from the iconic ramp. Wildman	April 4, 2025 at 2:20 pm	











ANSWERS	DATE	
All, I fear we are losing our way. Honorable people do honorable things, openly.	April 4, 2025 at 2:19 pm	
One of the core values of the USAF and USAFA has always been integrity. It is my understanding that to behave in this manner is contrary to the actions of those acting with integrity. To be abundantly clear—the actions of the incumbent BOD in secretly appointing new voting members demonstrates a lack of integrity among the incumbent BOD. Were we still subject to USAFA standards, this would be grounds for a full investigation and possibly even removal of those BOD members in violation. As we are no longer under USAFA standards, one would hope that integrity would be a core part of each BOD member's personal character. There is obviously a deficiency somewhere. This should be reversed at a minimum, and I would move to have it rooted out and those BOD members to receive a vote of no confidence (or whatever the appropriate procedure is).	April 4, 2025 at 2:19 pm	
It seems we have our own "establishment" incumbent BOD's - bending/disregarding the rules and using secrecy to advance their own agenda. This is exactly why we elected the Unity candidates and thank goodness you won! Keep up the good work, make the BOD accountable and transparent, and, to the incumbent BOD's: Listen to the voters!	April 4, 2025 at 2:17 pm	
Seems like an underhanded move to try to maintain control of the BOD against the wishes of those who voted in the election.	April 4, 2025 at 2:15 pm	
I fully support the positions of the five newly-elected Unity members to the AOG BOD.	April 4, 2025 at 2:06 pm	
The appointments made by the BOD on March 19, 2025 was very shabby maneuver by the current BOD and does not represent the views of the majority in the last election.	April 4, 2025 at 2:05 pm	
We voted for change. The old BOD is trying to prevent that change. That is ethucally wrong, morally misguided and legally questionable. Beware, old BOD types. Your reelection is coming, and we will remember your votes on these matters. Note to the Unity Slate: Clearly, you have some Bylaws to fix. Get to it.	April 4, 2025 at 2:04 pm	
If the old BOD had felt it needed more members, they should have opened more positions to be elected rather than having a vote on a few members and then appointing more members they felt should be added. The old BOD essentially negated the election results. That was very "below board" as far as I am concerned and brings into question the motives of the old board	April 4, 2025 at 2:03 pm	
It's a great disappointment that the incumbent Board thinks and acts like they "know better" than the collective will of a Graduate majority.	April 4, 2025 at 1:59 pm	
I am shocked by the conduct of the incumbent BOD members	April 4, 2025 at 1:57 pm	
What the hell is going on out there?	April 4, 2025 at 1:56 pm	





ANSWERS	DATE	
Glad I voted for the Unity Slate. Obviously, the incumbent BOD has issues it does not want the broad membership of the AOG to know about as it tries to load its own personnel picks. Sneaky and dishonest.	April 4, 2025 at 1:56 pm	
INTEGRITY FIRST!! Questions?	April 4, 2025 at 1:56 pm	
I have spoken!	April 4, 2025 at 1:55 pm	
The Incumbent BOD is way out of line appointing voting members to influence the the newly elected BOD's direction. The newly elected BOD is the voice of the AOG.	April 4, 2025 at 1:55 pm	
These appointments are gross misdeeds clearly designed to nullify the power of the newly elected board members. If this was a union, there would be a push to recall all of the old board. All trust in the current board members has been eradicated by these actions.	April 4, 2025 at 1:55 pm	
There are too many irregularities involved in the appointment of these two BOD members, particularly in the case of appointed members who ran and were defeated for reelection in the latest election. This stinks to high heaven! Please revoke these appointments immediately!	April 4, 2025 at 1:52 pm	
I applaud transparency, but this questionnaire seems awfully biased. The incumbent board hasn't done anything wrong, and their actions are similar to what's been done in recent history. I wish the new board well and hope all members can work well as a non-partisan, cohesive unit.	April 4, 2025 at 1:51 pm	
-So sad to see USAFA grads willingly compromise themselves over "power" ... outrageous to appoint a Director that just lost an election. You can fool the crowd but you can't fool to players ... we "see" this and are disappointed as I think we were taught better -Yet another reason we lose trust in our institutions as this Board "play games" to resist change rather than adjust to new realities -- y'all should do some reflection as you have lost the ability to be self-aware	April 4, 2025 at 1:50 pm	
Question 2D is a little ambiguous.	April 4, 2025 at 1:50 pm	
This is outrageous and the type of behavior that made me want nothing to do with the AOG during my time at school and afterwards. It took me ten years to convince myself to pay for a membership, which I finally did several years ago, because I wanted a voice and a say. Turns out I never had a say to begin with.	April 4, 2025 at 1:49 pm	
What the incumbent BOD's did by appointing new members that were not voted in was despicable. Worse yet they appointed members who had just been voted out! Unbelievable.	April 4, 2025 at 1:48 pm	
Incumbent BOD got caught & needs to yield to the membership...this is a 'we the people' issue & this power grab after hours is another reason I voted for change & for the 5 new BOD members...clean up this mess!	April 4, 2025 at 1:48 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
Thank you newly elected BOD members for your outstanding efforts to turn around the current BOD practices!	April 4, 2025 at 1:46 pm	
The recent "secret meeting" and appointment of 2 individuals to voting positions on the BOD without having been voted in by the AOG is a lame and pathetic over reach by the existing BOD and they should be ashamed of themselves!!!.....Actually, they should all resign and open their vacant seats to a new vote by the AOG to fill their positions legitimately!	April 4, 2025 at 1:45 pm	
If they have broken Colorado and refuse to correct this then I as an AOG member would like to bring a lawsuit against them. I'm sure we have some very fine Zoomie CO lawyers who can represent me/us against them.	April 4, 2025 at 1:44 pm	
I couldn't believe the current BOD was going to appoint 2 new members to the BOD. That simply doesn't make sense. And it is absurd to appoint a new member that ran and was not elected no matter how valuable he or she is. Why have elections if they are going to be circumvented like this.	April 4, 2025 at 1:43 pm	
TRANSPARENCY IS ALWAYS THE KEY TO AN EFFECTIVELY (& FAIRLY) RUN ORGANIZATION	April 4, 2025 at 1:43 pm	
The appointment of additional BOD members by the incumbent board was undemocratic and unseemly. All VOTING members should ONLY be verified through election. Especially unfortunate was the appointment of a BOD member who failed to win re-election. This issue is a no-brainer.	April 4, 2025 at 1:41 pm	
the incumbent BOD should resign for undermining the integrity of the AOG	April 4, 2025 at 1:41 pm	
The process used to fill the appointments does reek of a "smoke filled room" image. Even if conducted with the best of intentions, it presents an image of unfair manipulation. I think it would be useful for those in power to explain why appointed directors are needed to fill vacancies immediately following an election. It is difficult for me to come up with a logical explanation. If two more directors were needed, why not simply allow the graduate community to vote for two more candidates? Re: Question 2D on the survey. If appointed directors remain authorized, I have no objection to appointment of someone who lost the election, if appointed by the NEW board. We have many outstanding graduates. The fact that someone was the 6th leading vote-getter with 5 elected should NOT preclude service. I do believe that the newly elected board members should make that decision, not those on the way out. Re: Survey Question 7: Most meetings should be open. I do not object to closed meetings where personnel discussions occur, provided the meeting is open to all elected board members. Re: Question 9 on the survey. Yes...if done in a constructive fashion. Regardless of the feelings of graduates collectively, we are not in charge and the AOG should never become adversarial. Informative, yes; combative, no.	April 4, 2025 at 1:40 pm	
veritas et perspicuitas (Truth and Transparency).	April 4, 2025 at 1:39 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
The appointment of two directors by the incumbent BOD lacked integrity. All AOG BOD activity should be straightforward and transparent. We don't need directors who want to play games. Thanks for asking. #10. I think the question is unclear. The by-laws should reflect the majority opinion of voting graduates.	April 4, 2025 at 1:39 pm	
Old BOD should stop acting like a Politburo.	April 4, 2025 at 1:38 pm	
The lack of transparency (by the current BOD) and these secret meetings are a version of quibbling... all somehow falsely justified. It is more objectively a selfish attempt to retain Power/Control. It is, at worst dishonorable (cadets must abide by the honor code and they are watching), and at best disrespectful to the process itself which ultimately represents the entire membership.	April 4, 2025 at 1:37 pm	
The incumbent BOD's passive-aggressive actions with the appointment of those two BOD "members" was unseemly at best. At worst, it certainly violated the spirit of our USAFA's Honor Code of not lying, stealing, or cheating. Disgustingly bad optics!	April 4, 2025 at 1:35 pm	
I completely agree with the newly elected BOD members and the objections made to the actions taken by the sitting BOD at the 19 Mar 25 "secret" meeting that was not published at least 10 days in advance, nor open to AOG members' attendance. I intend to email the sitting BOD members to state my objections and support of the newly elected BOD members.	April 4, 2025 at 1:34 pm	
I'm appalled at what happened on March 19th. This is OUR Air Force Academy and going against the will of us graduates to appoint members to the board that were not duly elected is NOT acceptable and it is against the wishes of the majority of those of us who voted. If you want things be transparent and to get better this is NOT how it is to be done. I respectfully ask you to undo what you have done and to work with our newly elected board members and the rest of us graduates!	April 4, 2025 at 1:34 pm	
Underhanded moves by the outgoing BOD are certainly not in line with the USAFA Honor Code that we claim as a guiding principle.	April 4, 2025 at 1:33 pm	
I completely agree with the newly elected BOD members and the objections made to the actions taken by the sitting BOD at the 19 Mar 25 "secret" meeting that was not published at least 10 days in advance, nor open to AOG members' attendance. I intend to email the sitting BOD members to state my objections and support of the newly elected BOD members.	April 4, 2025 at 1:32 pm	
These two appointments were a blatant attempt to maintain influence by a group that has convinced itself that they "know better." The fact that this occurred is both surprising and disappointing, and it should not stand.	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	
In my opinion, the current BOD is underhanded and shady. They exemplify the Marxist ideas of "by any means necessary," or "the end justifies the means." If USAFA can't return to its primary mission of warfighting, then I'm all for shutting it down and having the officer pipeline just OTS and ROTC--under current conditions	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
a better use of taxpayer dollars. I stopped donating to USAFA 3 years ago and don't see a change in the near future.		
We the People - not we the powerful, entrenched and compromised! I wanted nothing to do with the previous AOG leadership due to their policies. I don't care who was putting pressure on them. We are fighting this same battle worldwide.	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	
Very concerning that our incumbent BOD has made appointments to the BOD when they don't appear to be necessary. Their lack of transparency only increases our distrust of their work.	April 4, 2025 at 1:30 pm	
It might be worthwhile to have an attorney look into Colorado Statutes, Regulations, and Case law interpretations regarding the powers of Non-profit Boards of Directors. Further, there might be aspects of this influenced by laws for federally chartered organizations. The BOD speaks on behalf of graduates of the Academy, who are thus almost exclusively veterans: federal rules and case law decisions for other Veterans Service Organizations may be applicable here. Stay the Course!	April 4, 2025 at 1:29 pm	
The previous BOD members are doing more slimy deep-state stuff. Shame on them!	April 4, 2025 at 1:27 pm	
Actions such as what the incumbent board did is one of the many reasons I stopped donating to the AOG. I do not feel the AOG has represented my interests as a graduate. I was starting to reconsider donating in light of recent events and the election, but now will not.	April 4, 2025 at 1:25 pm	
The Unity Slate needs to take a chill pill. If every time something goes against you, are you going to come crying to the alumni? This is my fear of the Unity Slate: despite the fine sounding rhetoric, the group will try to impose a very reactionary "my way or the highway" on anyone they don't agree with. We need to work together here people.	April 4, 2025 at 1:25 pm	
I am a strong supporter of the AOG and a Polaris Society member. This type of action by the Board makes me reconsider my pledge to donate \$100k. I do not want my donation to be a slush fund for policies backed by a limited group of individuals acting like dictators. Follow the rules, be transparent, or I will find an honorable charity to leave my money to (like T2T).	April 4, 2025 at 1:24 pm	
Excellent initiative on your part. Thank you very much!!	April 4, 2025 at 1:23 pm	
Clearly, the motive of the incumbent board was to maintain their power in the face of the newly elected Unity Slate members tipping the voting majority to a new position. I'm an '89 grad and have had issue with the AOG BOD not representing my views since the earliest days after my graduation. The actions of the incumbent board deepen my suspicion that the AOG does not respect nor represent my views. I am disgusted by the contempt the incumbent board has demonstrated towards the general membership of the AOG. In my humble opinion, members of the incumbent board should resign their positions as they have demonstrated to the entire AOG general membership that their interest does not align with the interests	April 4, 2025 at 1:21 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
of the membership, as demonstrated by the only poll that matters: the recent election of new members to the Board.		
Until the AOG becomes a united organization we will continue to severely lag West Point and Annapolis graduate associations. This is evident in alumni support, alumni camaraderie and engagement. The AOG owns the lack of alumni engagement and issues like this continue to make our organization less effective in supporting our academy and alumni.	April 4, 2025 at 1:21 pm	
Totally support the new BOD above. Wholeheartedly support greater transparency and power to the members.	April 4, 2025 at 1:20 pm	
The AOG has its own Deep State, unwilling to recognize times have changed. The move to dilute the voting power of the Board after the recent election with these appointments is dishonorable.	April 4, 2025 at 1:18 pm	
Thank you to the newly elected Board Members for taking immediate action on this issue.	April 4, 2025 at 1:17 pm	
The actions of the lame duck BOD attempting to short-circuit the will of its members is appalling, classless, and without integrity; bush league. What is the point of holding an election, if the results are going to be overturned because the chastised incumbent BOD disagrees with the results? It's the very definition of corruption. I urge the "Unity Slate" candidates to resign their positions if the BOD will not rescind its bad faith appointments. If it comes to that, I will also resign from the AOG, and wash my hands of the organization once and for all. I sure hope the incumbent BOD takes a moment to re-cage their priorities and remember who they work for--and stops acting like a banana republic. I hope it doesn't come to that, but we cannot let the current board put its own agenda over the voice of its members. Thanks for stepping up and for being transparent. I support the five of you, and whatever path you deem appropriate to shine a light on the outlandish actions of the incumbent BOD.	April 4, 2025 at 1:14 pm	
I agree with the 5 Unity Slate directors.	April 4, 2025 at 1:14 pm	
no additional comments	April 4, 2025 at 1:12 pm	
The recent election of five new directors was accomplished with a great amount of political maneuvering. The results were advantaged by DEI activities at USAFA that have been subsequently reversed as a result of the national election. Some goodwill accrued to the newly elected board members and that shouldn't be squandered by political in-fighting. The BOD is about graduates and is largely irrelevant to USAFA day to day activities. The added visibility and feed back to USAFA leadership is all that is needed at this point. We do not need overreach, a revolution or political infighting.	April 4, 2025 at 1:11 pm	
Thanks for standing up for the members of the USAFA AOG!	April 4, 2025 at 1:10 pm	
Based on the information presented by the newly elected BOD members, the current BOD is not acting with "HONOR". Absent an explanation from the current	April 4, 2025 at 1:08 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
BOD, their action(s) on this matter are well below the dignity and ethics that the BOD of USAFA should reflect. Are the action(s) of the current BOD a "role model" for the current Cadet Wing members?		
Bring back the honor code. Bring back doolie year. Bring back Jack's Valley. Bring back a curriculum that produces "whole men/women?". These all worked for decades and produced an institution we were all proud to call our alma mater.	April 4, 2025 at 1:08 pm	
The Academy's AOG is making fools of itself by trying to counter the clear results of this vote. This comes off as if the incumbent BOD sees itself as a "higher class" of graduates than the rest of us, and it reflects extremely poorly on them.	April 4, 2025 at 1:03 pm	
the incoming BOD should sue the outgoing BOD and the AOG for their attempt to circumvent the intent of the By-laws.	April 4, 2025 at 1:02 pm	
Comment goes here	March 31, 2025 at 4:56 pm	


147 Answered

133 Skipped

Question 1  MULTIPLE CHOICE

1. Should all members of the US Air Force Academy (USAFA) Board of Directors (BOD) be elected by AOG members and not appointed by the AOG BOD except to fill vacancies due to resignation or inability to perform the duties of a Director?






ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	98%	273
No	3%	7

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 2    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

A. Should the appointed member be only an ex officio, non-voting member, instead of a voting member except if filling a vacancy due to resignation or inability to perform the duties of a Director?




ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	96%	268
No	4%	12

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 3    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

B. After one or more new BOD members have been elected by AOG members, should the appointment of a new BOD member be only by the newly elected BOD and not by the incumbent BOD?



ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	97%	271
No	3%	9

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 4    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

C. Should an appointed BOD member who can vote be allowed for a member of the incumbent BOD who lost re-election?




ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
No	96%	269
Yes	4%	11

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 5    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

D. Should any appointment be made only to fulfill specific published needs of the BOD pursuant to specific published criteria?




ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	84%	236
No	16%	44

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 6    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

E. Should an appointment of a voting member of the BOD be made by the incumbent BOD to try to influence the voting majority of the newly elected BOD to conform to the will of the incumbent BOD?



ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
No	98%	274
Yes	2%	6

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 7    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

3. Should the incumbent BOD rescind the appointments it made on March 19, 2025, or rescind the authority of those appointees to vote on BOD matters?




ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	97%	272
No	3%	8

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 8    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

4. Should the newly elected BOD rescind the appointments it made on March 19, 2025, or rescind the authority of those appointees to vote on BOD matters, if the incumbent BOD fails to do so?



ANSWERS		RESPONSES	
Yes		97%	272
No		3%	8


280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 9    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

5. Should the newly appointed BOD members decline to become voting members of the BOD if a BOD does not rescind their authority to vote on the BODs?





ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	91%	254
No	9%	26

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 10    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

6. Does an incumbent BOD better reflect the views and will of the AOG members than a newly elected BODs?




ANSWERS		RESPONSES	
No		96%	270
Yes		4%	10

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 11    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

7. Should all committee meetings of the BOD be open to all AOG members who are able to attend?



ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	97%	272
No	3%	8

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 12    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

8. Should a notice and agenda regarding the purposes of all BOD meetings be published to all AOG members at least ten days before each meeting?



ANSWERS	RESPONSES
Yes	99%278
No	1%2

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 13    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

9. Should the BOD keep USAFA leaders and the USAFA Board of Visitors informed about the views and opinions of AOG members about the Air Force Academy?




ANSWERS	RESPONSES	
Yes	100%	280

280 Answered

0 Skipped

Question 14    ≡ MULTIPLE CHOICE

10. Should the bylaws of the AOG reflect the BOD’s positions on each of the issues stated above?



ANSWERS		RESPONSES	
Yes		98%	273
No		3%	7

























280 Answered
























0 Skipped

Question 16   SINGLE LINE TEXT

























# USAFA Class Year

























ANSWERS	DATE	
1983	April 4, 2025 at 9:01 pm	
1961	April 4, 2025 at 8:53 pm	
1963	April 4, 2025 at 8:50 pm	
1978	April 4, 2025 at 8:49 pm	

























ANSWERS	DATE	
1976	April 4, 2025 at 8:28 pm	
1977	April 4, 2025 at 8:15 pm	
1984	April 4, 2025 at 8:14 pm	
1996	April 4, 2025 at 8:13 pm	
1970	April 4, 2025 at 8:05 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 7:59 pm	
1986	April 4, 2025 at 7:53 pm	
2010	April 4, 2025 at 7:42 pm	
1983	April 4, 2025 at 7:36 pm	
1984	April 4, 2025 at 7:24 pm	
1969	April 4, 2025 at 7:23 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 7:13 pm	
1979	April 4, 2025 at 7:05 pm	
1970	April 4, 2025 at 6:49 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 6:47 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 6:40 pm	
1985	April 4, 2025 at 6:39 pm	
1995	April 4, 2025 at 6:32 pm	
1990	April 4, 2025 at 6:31 pm	
1976	April 4, 2025 at 6:25 pm	
1989	April 4, 2025 at 6:24 pm	
1992	April 4, 2025 at 6:12 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 6:08 pm	
1971	April 4, 2025 at 6:05 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
1076	April 4, 2025 at 6:00 pm	
1979	April 4, 2025 at 5:59 pm	
1970	April 4, 2025 at 5:49 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 5:49 pm	
1969	April 4, 2025 at 5:43 pm	
1971	April 4, 2025 at 5:37 pm	
2002	April 4, 2025 at 5:28 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 5:24 pm	
1995	April 4, 2025 at 5:24 pm	
1970	April 4, 2025 at 5:23 pm	
1971	April 4, 2025 at 5:15 pm	
1977	April 4, 2025 at 5:13 pm	
1965	April 4, 2025 at 5:11 pm	
1988	April 4, 2025 at 5:09 pm	
2003	April 4, 2025 at 5:07 pm	
1985	April 4, 2025 at 5:06 pm	
2007	April 4, 2025 at 5:05 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 5:02 pm	
2005	April 4, 2025 at 4:56 pm	
1984	April 4, 2025 at 4:53 pm	
'71 the same as Bohlin and Lowe.	April 4, 2025 at 4:49 pm	
1985	April 4, 2025 at 4:48 pm	
1978	April 4, 2025 at 4:46 pm	
1988	April 4, 2025 at 4:42 pm	



ANSWERS	DATE	
2009	April 4, 2025 at 4:39 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 4:38 pm	
1999	April 4, 2025 at 4:37 pm	
1978	April 4, 2025 at 4:32 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 4:29 pm	
1970	April 4, 2025 at 4:26 pm	
1967	April 4, 2025 at 4:24 pm	
1978	April 4, 2025 at 4:23 pm	
1985	April 4, 2025 at 4:22 pm	
79	April 4, 2025 at 4:20 pm	
1990	April 4, 2025 at 4:16 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 4:13 pm	
USMA Class of 1960	April 4, 2025 at 4:12 pm	
1992	April 4, 2025 at 4:12 pm	
1981	April 4, 2025 at 4:11 pm	
1965	April 4, 2025 at 4:09 pm	
1968	April 4, 2025 at 4:06 pm	
1970	April 4, 2025 at 4:00 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 3:59 pm	
1984	April 4, 2025 at 3:57 pm	
1974 (apparently a terrazzo dinosaur I once complained about)	April 4, 2025 at 3:53 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 3:52 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 3:52 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 3:50 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
1990	April 4, 2025 at 3:49 pm	
1981	April 4, 2025 at 3:48 pm	
1994	April 4, 2025 at 3:46 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 3:44 pm	
1977	April 4, 2025 at 3:42 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 3:41 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 3:39 pm	
2007	April 4, 2025 at 3:38 pm	
1971	April 4, 2025 at 3:36 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 3:35 pm	
1967	April 4, 2025 at 3:34 pm	
1978	April 4, 2025 at 3:34 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 3:34 pm	
1998	April 4, 2025 at 3:33 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 3:32 pm	
1982	April 4, 2025 at 3:31 pm	
1977	April 4, 2025 at 3:27 pm	
1978	April 4, 2025 at 3:24 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 3:22 pm	
1989	April 4, 2025 at 3:21 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 3:19 pm	
1982	April 4, 2025 at 3:18 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 3:14 pm	
1969	April 4, 2025 at 3:13 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
1986	April 4, 2025 at 3:13 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 3:12 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 3:12 pm	
1994	April 4, 2025 at 3:11 pm	
1999	April 4, 2025 at 3:11 pm	
1999	April 4, 2025 at 3:09 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 3:07 pm	
1991	April 4, 2025 at 3:06 pm	
Red Hot 1994	April 4, 2025 at 3:05 pm	
1978	April 4, 2025 at 3:05 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 3:05 pm	
2002	April 4, 2025 at 3:01 pm	
1965	April 4, 2025 at 2:58 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 2:56 pm	
1962	April 4, 2025 at 2:55 pm	
1971	April 4, 2025 at 2:55 pm	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 2:52 pm	
1969	April 4, 2025 at 2:51 pm	
1994	April 4, 2025 at 2:49 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 2:48 pm	
1974	April 4, 2025 at 2:48 pm	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 2:47 pm	
1969	April 4, 2025 at 2:47 pm	
1985	April 4, 2025 at 2:46 pm	

ANSWERS	DATE	
1979	April 4, 2025 at 2:46 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 2:44 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1970	April 4, 2025 at 2:44 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
Parent of 2002 Graduate	April 4, 2025 at 2:43 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 2:41 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1976	April 4, 2025 at 2:41 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
'65	April 4, 2025 at 2:39 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1982	April 4, 2025 at 2:38 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2001	April 4, 2025 at 2:36 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
81	April 4, 2025 at 2:35 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1997	April 4, 2025 at 2:34 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2003	April 4, 2025 at 2:33 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1984	April 4, 2025 at 2:32 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1960	April 4, 2025 at 2:31 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1974	April 4, 2025 at 2:30 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1972	April 4, 2025 at 2:30 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 2:28 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 2:28 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1997	April 4, 2025 at 2:28 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2000	April 4, 2025 at 2:27 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1980	April 4, 2025 at 2:27 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1973	April 4, 2025 at 2:26 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1969	April 4, 2025 at 2:24 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1976	April 4, 2025 at 2:23 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>

ANSWERS	DATE	
1980	April 4, 2025 at 2:22 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1981	April 4, 2025 at 2:21 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1975	April 4, 2025 at 2:20 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1993	April 4, 2025 at 2:20 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
72	April 4, 2025 at 2:20 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 2:19 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1986	April 4, 2025 at 2:19 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2009	April 4, 2025 at 2:19 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1964	April 4, 2025 at 2:18 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1984	April 4, 2025 at 2:17 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1981	April 4, 2025 at 2:15 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
74	April 4, 2025 at 2:15 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1965	April 4, 2025 at 2:13 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1974	April 4, 2025 at 2:11 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2015	April 4, 2025 at 2:08 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1972	April 4, 2025 at 2:08 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1965	April 4, 2025 at 2:07 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1980	April 4, 2025 at 2:06 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1981	April 4, 2025 at 2:05 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1965	April 4, 2025 at 2:05 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 2:04 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1972	April 4, 2025 at 2:04 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1968	April 4, 2025 at 2:03 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1998	April 4, 2025 at 2:02 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>












ANSWERS	DATE	
1973	April 4, 2025 at 2:01 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1971	April 4, 2025 at 1:59 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1994	April 4, 2025 at 1:59 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2019	April 4, 2025 at 1:58 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1972	April 4, 2025 at 1:58 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
I am a USMA graduate, Class of 1978	April 4, 2025 at 1:57 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1971	April 4, 2025 at 1:56 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1967	April 4, 2025 at 1:56 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1974	April 4, 2025 at 1:56 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
75 Best Alive	April 4, 2025 at 1:55 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1968	April 4, 2025 at 1:55 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1977	April 4, 2025 at 1:55 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1972	April 4, 2025 at 1:54 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1999	April 4, 2025 at 1:54 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
68	April 4, 2025 at 1:52 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1975	April 4, 2025 at 1:52 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2004	April 4, 2025 at 1:51 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2002	April 4, 2025 at 1:50 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1982	April 4, 2025 at 1:50 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1971	April 4, 2025 at 1:50 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2011	April 4, 2025 at 1:49 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2005	April 4, 2025 at 1:48 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1973	April 4, 2025 at 1:48 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1984	April 4, 2025 at 1:46 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>

ANSWERS	DATE	
1986	April 4, 2025 at 1:46 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1971	April 4, 2025 at 1:45 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2003	April 4, 2025 at 1:44 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1979	April 4, 2025 at 1:43 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1965	April 4, 2025 at 1:43 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1969	April 4, 2025 at 1:43 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1971	April 4, 2025 at 1:42 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1975	April 4, 2025 at 1:41 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
USMA 1973	April 4, 2025 at 1:41 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1967	April 4, 2025 at 1:40 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1980	April 4, 2025 at 1:39 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1974	April 4, 2025 at 1:39 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1980	April 4, 2025 at 1:39 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
78	April 4, 2025 at 1:39 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1982	April 4, 2025 at 1:38 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1970	April 4, 2025 at 1:37 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1974	April 4, 2025 at 1:37 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1989	April 4, 2025 at 1:37 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1976	April 4, 2025 at 1:36 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1982	April 4, 2025 at 1:35 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1989	April 4, 2025 at 1:34 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1984	April 4, 2025 at 1:34 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1979	April 4, 2025 at 1:34 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1982	April 4, 2025 at 1:33 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>

ANSWERS	DATE	
1976	April 4, 2025 at 1:33 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1963	April 4, 2025 at 1:33 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1990	April 4, 2025 at 1:32 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1969	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1988	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1973	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2011	April 4, 2025 at 1:31 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1982	April 4, 2025 at 1:30 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1984	April 4, 2025 at 1:29 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 1:27 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1981	April 4, 2025 at 1:27 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 1:26 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1996	April 4, 2025 at 1:26 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 1:25 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2008	April 4, 2025 at 1:25 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1978	April 4, 2025 at 1:25 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1974	April 4, 2025 at 1:25 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2014	April 4, 2025 at 1:24 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
73	April 4, 2025 at 1:24 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2003	April 4, 2025 at 1:23 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1977	April 4, 2025 at 1:23 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1989	April 4, 2025 at 1:21 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1989	April 4, 2025 at 1:21 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>



ANSWERS	DATE	
1981	April 4, 2025 at 1:20 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1968	April 4, 2025 at 1:18 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1975	April 4, 2025 at 1:18 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1972	April 4, 2025 at 1:17 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1970	April 4, 2025 at 1:16 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2001	April 4, 2025 at 1:14 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1998	April 4, 2025 at 1:14 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1993	April 4, 2025 at 1:14 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1988	April 4, 2025 at 1:14 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1979	April 4, 2025 at 1:13 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1975	April 4, 2025 at 1:12 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1995	April 4, 2025 at 1:12 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1995	April 4, 2025 at 1:12 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1971	April 4, 2025 at 1:11 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
71	April 4, 2025 at 1:11 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1965	April 4, 2025 at 1:11 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1982	April 4, 2025 at 1:10 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1975	April 4, 2025 at 1:10 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1976	April 4, 2025 at 1:09 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1967	April 4, 2025 at 1:08 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1971	April 4, 2025 at 1:08 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1998	April 4, 2025 at 1:07 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
2002	April 4, 2025 at 1:07 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>
1972	April 4, 2025 at 1:05 pm	<a href="#">↗</a>

ANSWERS	DATE	
2000	April 4, 2025 at 1:05 pm	
1965	April 4, 2025 at 1:05 pm	
1998	April 4, 2025 at 1:04 pm	
1968	April 4, 2025 at 1:04 pm	
1991	April 4, 2025 at 1:04 pm	
2015	April 4, 2025 at 1:03 pm	
1975	April 4, 2025 at 1:02 pm	
2010	April 4, 2025 at 1:02 pm	
1988	April 4, 2025 at 1:02 pm	
1969	April 4, 2025 at 1:01 pm	
1965	April 4, 2025 at 1:01 pm	

279 Answered

1 Skipped